UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/520,096	01/03/2005	Robert Allan Phillips	144500.0010USWO	6979		
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 10/02/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 2903		CATTUNGAL, SANJAY				
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3768			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			10/02/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<i>A</i>	Application No. Applicant(s)						
			10/520,096		PHILLIPS, ROBERT ALLAN				
Office Action Summary		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
			SANJAY CATTI		3768				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ers on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN OF THE INSI	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS C a). In no event, how apply and will expire use the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>11 June</i>	e 2008						
'=	<u> </u>								
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election require	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January :</u>	<u>2005</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted	or b) objected	to by the Examir	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if the	ne drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

On October 26, 2005, the USPTO published Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility. See:

(http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101_20051026.

This guidelines details a procedure for determining patent eligible subject matter.

To satisfy the requirement of a practical application, the claimed invention must:

- (1) transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing; if no transformation, then
 - (2) the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

Regarding the instant application, the claims do not provide a transformation or reduction of an article to a different state or thing.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

Accordingly, one must then consider whether the claimed invention produces a useful, concrete, **and** tangible result.

(1) Useful Result

For an invention to be "useful" it must satisfy the utility requirement of section 101. The USPTO's official interpretation of the utility requirement provides that the utility of the invention has to be (i) specific, (ii) substantial and (iii) credible. See MPEP 2107. It can be argued that the claim does not provide a useful result in that the claim does not actually yield a useful result. It does not appear to be specific as to how a native to prosthetic flow index is reached, it is not specific as to the use of this native to prosthetic flow index.

(2) Tangible Result

The tangible requirement does not necessarily mean that a claim must either be tied to a particular machine or apparatus or must operate to change articles or materials to a different state or thing. However, the tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more than a 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that 101 judicial exception to produce a real world result.

Regarding the tangible result requirement, the claim clearly does not provide a practical application. For example, once the native to prosthetic flow index is calculated, how is it then applied?

(3) Concrete Result

Another consideration is whether the invention produces a "concrete" result.

Usually, this question arises when a result cannot be assured. In other words, the

process must have a result that can be substantially repeatable or the process must substantially produce the same result again. Resolving this question is dependent on the level of skill in the art. For example, if the claimed invention is for a process which requires a particular skill, to determine whether the process is substantially repeatable will necessarily require a determination of the level of skill of the ordinary skilled artisan.

Regarding the concrete result requirement, the claim does provide a result that can be assured in that the result can be substantially repeatable and the process can substantially produce the same result again.

In view of the above analysis, applicant's claim 1 is a process which includes a judicial exception therein. Upon review of the claim as a whole, there is no transformation nor does the claim produce a useful, and tangible result. Accordingly, the claim is non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101 as it lacks utility.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,511,413 to Landesberg.

Regading Claims 1 and 3-5, Landesberg teaches a method of monitoring the operation of a prosthetic assist device, the method comprising the steps of: utilizing a non invasive

device to monitor or serially measure directly the blood flow through at least one heart ventricle (Col. 8 lines 1-15); separately monitoring the blood flow through the prosthetic assist device; combining said two measurements to control the VAD. (Abstract and Claims 1, 2, and 6)

Regarding Claim 2, Landesburg teaches continuous Doppler flow monitoring of the heart.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANJAY CATTUNGAL whose telephone number is (571)272-1306. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,096 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

SPC